UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,129	01/05/2007	Hironori Mizuta	062398	8186
	7590 03/08/201 , HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
	TICUT AVENUE, NV	DELCOTTO, GREGORY R		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/577,129	MIZUTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gregory R. Del Cotto	1761	

		Gregory R. Del Cotto	1/61					
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED <u>28 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application application	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on n, applicant must timely file one of the following n in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe ued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
	eriod for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The pe	riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A nt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONT	ner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
have been filed is under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the date for purposes of determining the period of extra (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the save, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
	e of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEB 41 37 must be f	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the N Notice of <i>I</i>	lotice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS								
	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by raise new issues that would require further con			cause				
` ' =	y raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•						
—	y are not deemed to place the application in bet eal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) 🔲 The	y present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NC	TE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. 🔲 The amer	idments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
	's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
non-allowa	oposed or amended claim(s) would be all able claim(s).	·	•	-				
how the n	ses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ew or amended claims would be rejected is prov s of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: llowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
	bjected to:							
	ejected: <u>1,3-15,17-20 and 29</u> . vithdrawn from consideration: <u>21-28</u> .							
	OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. 🔲 The affida because a	vit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu pplicant failed to provide a showing of good and arlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
entered be	vit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
	avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. 🛛 The requ	est for reconsideration has been considered bu tinuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.	attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
								
		/Gregory R. Del Cotto/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1761					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment raises issues not previously presented which would require further consideration and/or search. Specifically, for example, Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite the limitation "consisting essentially of", has added claim 30 which recites the limitation "consisting essentially of" and the limitation "at least one component selected from the group consisting of a reducing agent, a metal corrosion inhibitor, and a surfactant", and has added claims 31-33 which recite specific Markush groups for the reducing agent, metal corrosion inhibitor, and surfactant, wherein these limitations were not previously presented and would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not sufficient to overcome the rejection(s) as set forth in the Office action mailed 10/29/10 which have been maintained for the reasons of record.